IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

Crim. Action No.: 1:22-CR-06-3 (Judge Kleeh)

SHANE I. THOMASON,

Defendant.

ORDER ADOPTING MAGISTRATE JUDGE'S REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION CONCERNING PLEA OF GUILTY IN FELONY CASE [ECF NO. 77], ACCEPTING GUILTY PLEA, AND SCHEDULING SENTENCING HEARING

On April 4, 2022, the Defendant, Shane I. Thomason ("Thomason"), appeared before United States Magistrate Judge Michael J. Aloi and moved for permission to enter a plea of GUILTY to Counts One and Two of the Indictment, without a written plea agreement, charging him in Count One with Conspiracy to Violate Federal Firearm Laws, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 371, 922(a)(6), and 924(a)(2), and charging him in Count Two with Aiding and Abetting False Statement During Purchase of Firearm, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 2, 922(a)(6) and 924(a)(2). Thomason stated that he understood that the magistrate judge is not a United States District Judge, and Thomason consented to pleading before the magistrate judge.

This Court referred Thomason's plea of guilty to the magistrate judge for the purpose of administering the allocution,

ORDER ADOPTING MAGISTRATE JUDGE'S REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION CONCERNING PLEA OF GUILTY IN FELONY CASE [ECF NO. 77], ACCEPTING GUILTY PLEA, AND SCHEDULING SENTENCING HEARING

pursuant to Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 11, making a finding as to whether the plea was knowingly and voluntarily entered, and recommending to this Court whether the plea should be accepted.

Based upon Thomason's statements during the plea hearing, and the Government's proffer establishing that an independent factual basis for the plea existed, the magistrate judge found that Thomason was competent to enter a plea, that the plea was freely and voluntarily given, that Thomason understood the charges against him and the consequences of his plea, and that a factual basis existed for the tendered plea. The magistrate judge issued a Report and Recommendation Concerning Plea of Guilty in Felony Case ("R&R") [ECF No. 77] finding a factual basis for the plea and recommending that this Court accept Thomason's plea of guilty to Counts One and Two of the Indictment.

The magistrate judge **remanded** Thomason to the custody of the United States Marshals Service.

The magistrate judge also directed the parties to file any written objections to the R&R within fourteen (14) days after service of the R&R. He further advised that failure to file objections would result in a waiver of the right to appeal from a

ORDER ADOPTING MAGISTRATE JUDGE'S REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION CONCERNING PLEA OF GUILTY IN FELONY CASE [ECF NO. 77], ACCEPTING GUILTY PLEA, AND SCHEDULING SENTENCING HEARING

judgment of this Court based on the R&R. Neither Thomason nor the Government filed objections to the R&R.

Accordingly, this Court **ADOPTS** the magistrate judge's R&R [ECF No. 77], provisionally **ACCEPTS** Thomason's guilty plea, and **ADJUDGES** him **GUILTY** of the crimes charged in Counts One and Two of the Indictment.

Pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. P. 11(c)(3) and U.S.S.G. § 6B1.1(c), the Court **DEFERS** acceptance of the proposed plea agreement until it has received and reviewed the presentence investigation report prepared in this matter.

Pursuant to U.S.S.G. \S 6A1 <u>et seq.</u>, the Court **ORDERS** the following:

- 1. The Probation Officer shall undertake a presentence investigation of Thomason, and prepare a presentence investigation report for the Court;
- 2. The Government and Thomason shall each provide their narrative descriptions of the offense to the Probation Officer by May 5, 2022;
- 3. The presentence investigation report shall be disclosed to Thomason, his counsel, and the Government on or before **June 20**, **2022**; however, the Probation Officer shall not disclose any

ORDER ADOPTING MAGISTRATE JUDGE'S REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION CONCERNING PLEA OF GUILTY IN FELONY CASE [ECF NO. 77], ACCEPTING GUILTY PLEA, AND SCHEDULING SENTENCING HEARING

sentencing recommendations made pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. P. 32(e)(3);

- 4. Counsel may file written objections to the presentence investigation report on or before **July 7, 2022**;
- 5. Responses to objections to the presentence investigation report shall be filed on or before **July 14**, **2022**;
- 6. The Office of Probation shall submit the presentence investigation report with addendum to the Court on or before **July** 28, 2022; and
- 7. Counsel may file any written sentencing memoranda or statements and motions for departure from the Sentencing Guidelines, including the factual basis for the same, on or before August 11, 2022.

The Court further **ORDERS** that prior to sentencing, Thomason's counsel shall review with him the revised Standard Probation and Supervised Release Conditions adopted by this Court on November 29, 2016, pursuant to the standing order entered by Chief Judge Groh, In Re: Revised Standard Probation and Supervised Release Conditions, 3:16-MC-56.

The Court will conduct the **Sentencing Hearing** for Thomason on **September 7, 2022,** at **10:00 a.m.**, at the **Clarksburg, West Virginia**,

ORDER ADOPTING MAGISTRATE JUDGE'S REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION CONCERNING PLEA OF GUILTY IN FELONY CASE [ECF NO. 77], ACCEPTING GUILTY PLEA, AND SCHEDULING SENTENCING HEARING

point of holding court. If counsel anticipates having multiple witnesses or an otherwise lengthy sentencing hearing, please notify the Judge's chamber staff so that an adequate amount of time can be scheduled.

It is so **ORDERED**.

The Clerk is directed to transmit copies of this Order to counsel of record and all appropriate agencies.

DATED: April 28, 2022

THOMAS S. KLEEH, CHIEF JUDGE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA